

09 JUN 2008



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
 UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
 P.O. BOX 1450
 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Ciba Specialty Chemicals Corporation
 Patent Department
 540 White Plains Road
 P.O. Box 2005
 Tarrytown, NY 10591-9005

RECEIVED
 Andrea DeCecchis

In re Application of
 Ray Davenport et al
 Application No.: 10/552,531 ✓
 PCT No.: PCT/EP2004/050443
 Int. Filing Date: 05 April 2004
 Priority Date: 14 April 2003
 Attorney Docket No.: PC/3-22342/A/PCT
 For: PROCESS FOR RENDERING SLUDGES
 INERT

JUN 12 2008

DECISION ON

PETITION UNDER

37 CFR 1.181

This decision is in response to the "COMMUNICATION" received 28 September 2007, which is being treated as a petition under 37 CFR 1.181 requesting the transfer of certain papers from application 10/552,530 to application 10/552,531 because the USPTO stamped postcard had the wrong application number on it.

BACKGROUND

On 11 October 2005, applicants filed a transmittal letter for entry into the national stage in the United States which was accompanied by, *inter alia*, the requisite basic national fee as required by 35 U.S.C. 371(c)(1), a copy of the international application. The application papers were assigned serial number No.: 10/552,531.

The USPTO, however, stamped the return postcard with the Application Serial Number No.: 10/552,530. Applicants used this serial number to file application papers until August 30, 2007.

On 28 September 2007, applicants filed this petition requesting that the papers incorrectly filed in application 10/552,530, which were meant for application 10/552,531 be transferred accordingly.

DISCUSSION

A review of the file reveals that certain papers that were intended for application 10/552,531 were mistakenly filed in application 10/552,530 because the USPTO inadvertently stamped the return postcard with the wrong application serial number.

PC/3 - 22342/A/PCT

Copy Sent to Basile
 6/18/08
 am